

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,245	02/27/2004	John E. McAlvin	2785989-000082	9461
49840 BAKER DON	7590 05/30/200 ELSON, BEARMAN,	EXAMINER		
SUITE 3100 S	IX CONCOURSE PAR	NUTTER, NATHAN M		
ATLANTA, G	A 30328		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
	,		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR I PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10789245	2/27/04	MCALVIN ET AL.	2785989-000082

BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ SUITE 3100 SIX CONCOURSE PARKWAY ATLANTA, GA 30328 EXAMINER

Nathan M., Nutter

ART UNIT PAPER

1711 20070525

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/789,245	MCALVIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nathan M. Nutter	1711	

.,,	Lxammer	Artonit					
	Nathan M. Nutter	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
. Mathe The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
	time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as							
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL		.					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	Will not be entered b					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(DTOL 204)				
 In the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).			_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wivided below or appended.	Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13 and 15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14,16-21 and 25</u> .	Claim(s) objected to: 13 and 15.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 		n condition for allowa	fide because:				
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	1 Xal		ull				
		Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711					

æ

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection of claims 1-11, 16-21 and 25 under.35 U.S.C. 103(a)as being unpatentable over Boeckeler et al (US 5,369,139) is hereby expressly withdrawn.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claim 13 will be noted as being objected to for the same reasoning as claim 15. The recitation of "up to about 30 percent by weight" includes the lower limit of "0 weight percent" in claim 15. Likewise, claim 13 recites the identical parameter. These claims are, indeed, broader than the claims from which they depend since the language of claim 12 and claim 14 require the presence of the constituent; claims 13 and 15 do not. The provisional rejection of claims 1-21 and 25 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of copending Application No. 10/440,610, is being maintained since no Terminal Disclaimer has been filed.